



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,701	12/11/2006	Karin Ekberg	CBX0007-506-US	3695
72653	7590	01/27/2011		
GLOBAL PATENT GROUP - CBX			EXAMINER	
1005 Warson Road			MACFARLANE, STACEY NEE	
Suite 201				
ST. LOUIS, MO 63132			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			16-49	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

KNHALL@GLOBALPATENTGROUP.COM
LHALL@GLOBALPATENTGROUP.COM
krissimpson@globalpatentgroup.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,701	EKBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

STACEY MACFARLANE
1649

All Participants:

(1) STACEY MACFARLANE. (3) _____.
 (2) Laverne Hall. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2011

Time: 3:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Stacey MacFarlane/
Examiner, Art Unit 1649

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant had question regarding the abandonment of this case by the Publications Branch. This application was properly abandoned. According to MPEP 1306: Applicant's submission dated 08-18-10 makes no reference to "issue fee" nor to "fees due under 37 CFR 1.18". Further section II of the PTOL-85 (NOA 06-16-10) states that the applicant must submit PART B - Fee(s) Transmittal, or its equivalent with the payment of the Issue Fee and Publication Fee. Since applicant failed to meet either of the two above requirements this application was properly abandoned. The applicant was advised that they can file a petition to revive the application..